

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/883,040	06/15/2001	John C. Yarian	2000-0477. ORI	1281
7:	590 08/20/2003			
Mark J. Burns, Esq.			EXAMINER	
HAUGEN LAW FIRM PLLP				
1130 TCF Tow	er		KIM, PA	AUL L
121 South Eigh	t Street			
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2857	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		NC				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/883,040	YARIAN, JOHN C.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Paul L Kim	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u>	<u>June 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n. :					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by UKAS.

With regard to claims 1-9 and 12, UKAS teaches a method for calibrating a weighing device comprising: setting the weighing device at a zero point (page 12, 4.3.3a), successively placing test loads on the device at a plurality of test positions located about a peripheral two-thirds of the surface of the device (page 12, 4.3.3d), the loads being measured at discrete instances (page 13, 5.2), determining weight error of the device at each of the testing positions (page 12, 4.3.3d), summing the measured weights into a summed error (page 14, 5.5), and comparing the summed error to a tolerance level (page 13, 4.3.4).

With regard to claim 10, UKAS teaches the scale being a class III (page 4, 2.1)

With regard to claims 11 and 13, UKAS teaches a method for calibrating a weighing device comprising: setting the weighing device at a zero point (page 12, 4.3.3a), successively placing test loads on the device at a plurality of test positions located about a peripheral two-thirds of the surface of the device (page 12, 4.3.3d), the loads being measured at discrete instances (page 13, 5.2), determining weight error of the device at each of the testing positions (page 12, 4.3.3d), summing the measured

Art Unit: 2857

weights into a summed error (page 14, 5.5), and comparing the summed error to a tolerance level (page 13, 4.3.4). UKAS also teaches the weighing device being leveled (page 6, 3.5.1), cleaned (page 4, 2.3), visually inspected (page 5, 3.1), and repaired (page 14, 5.5).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vitunic et al teaches a method of calibrating a scale by placing test loads at a plurality of different locations.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-7468.

 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4440 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,040

Art Unit: 2857

PK

August 7, 2003

MARC S. HOFE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800